

The Cupola Review Rubric

Please use the form below to guide your review. Where specific deficiencies are identified, it is especially important to elaborate in the comment area.

Reviewer Name:

Submission Title:

Author Name (First and Last):

| Criteria | N/A | Marginal (1) | Acceptable (2) | Strong (3) |
|--|-----|--------------|----------------|------------|
| Are ideas practical, stimulating, and useful? | | | | |
| Are ideas supported by research? | | | | |
| Does the title clearly describe the essay? | | | | |
| Does the introduction accurately describe what the author hopes to achieve and clearly state the problem being investigated? | | | | |
| Are the references appropriate and accurate? | | | | |
| Is the methodology appropriate for the question or challenge posed? | | | | |
| Does the essay/poster clearly describe results and/or a conclusion? | | | | |
| Are findings laid out clearly and in a logical sequence? | | | | |
| Is the writing clear and focused with correct grammar/mechanics? | | | | |
| Is the writing style appropriate to the readership? | | | | |
| Overall Manuscript Rating--total score | | | | |

Please use your best critical judgment in making a recommendation, keeping in mind the quantity of submissions and our intention to publish no more than twenty.

Your Recommendation

- Accept/Publish
- Reject

Recommend for one of two \$500 awards?

- Yes
- No

Additional comments that can be shared with the author